

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,907	05/01/2001	Shinya Kimura	72012/55861	4637
21874 7:	590 12/29/2005		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			HA, LEYNNA A	
P.O. BOX 5587 BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
KIMURA, SHINYA	
Art Unit	
2135	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) [.] The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the non-allowable claim(s).

7. The purposes of the purposes o 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling , For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) __ will not be entered, or b) __ will be entered and an explanation of how the new of amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended-The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. Mr The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ___

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-2 remains rejected. in view of Bjorklund. Claims 1-2 broadly claims displaying information to a LAN administrator for final authorization. Applicant argues on page 4, that "nothing in Bjorklund reference teaches, disclose or suggest" the LAN administrator is "a human operator that specifically authorize by the activation of an input means associated with a display on his console each association requested by a mobile station in a particular area as notified to him by the operator of that mobile station by telephone or any other written/verbal means" Firstly, the broad limitations fails to claim that the mobile station is a human operator also and where the mobile station is performing the instructions to authenticate to the LAN administrator's computer. Secondly, Bjorklund does teach that the network manager is an operator where this operator receives information by telephone or written/verbal means which proves that the network manager is an operator as such of applicant's LAN administrator. Bjorklund does disclose a LAN administrator in the form of a network administrator at the nework manager location. Because applicant broadly claiming a LAN administrator and does not further limiting that the LAN administrator is a human operator. Thus, an administrator that supports the local network is the LAN administrator where Bjorklund teaches the same "network administrator" that supports the local network. (col.4, lines 59-60). Either perspective, if broadly claiming the LAN administrator, Bjorklund does teach a network administrator that supports the LAN and if further limiting the administrator is a human operator, Bjorklund does teach the network administrator is an operator also (col.4, lines 49-51 and col.7, lines 14-15 and 66-67).